

1 Scott L. Poisson, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10188
2 Amber N. King, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 14176
3 **HIGH STAKES INJURY LAW**
320 S. Jones Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89107
4 Telephone: (702) 877-4878
Facsimile: (702) 256-6280
5 Email: amber@vegashurt.com
6 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA, SOUTHERN DIVISION**

9 MARCUS COLEMAN, an individual,
10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 WALGREEN CO., doing business as
13 WALGREENS; DOES 1 through 100; and ROE
CORPORATION 101 through 200, inclusive,

14 Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:24-cv-00661-RFB-MDC

**MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL
OF RECORD**

17 SCOTT L. POISSON, ESQ. and AMBER N. KING. ESQ., of HIGH STAKES INJURY
18 LAW hereby move this Court for an order allowing the firm to withdraw as counsel of record
19 for Plaintiff. This motion is made and based on the attached Memorandum of Points and
20 Authorities, Declaration of Amber N. King. Esq., and any oral argument the Court may choose
21 to entertain at the time of hearing in this matter.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. ARGUMENT

This Court has the authority to enter an order allowing an attorney to withdraw as attorney of record for a client. Nevada Supreme Court Rule 46 provides in pertinent part:

The attorney in action or special proceeding may be changed at any time before judgment or final determination as follows:

1. Upon consent of the attorney, approved by the client.
2. Upon order of the court or judge thereof on the application of the attorney or client.¹

NRPC 1.16(b)(2) states that an attorney may withdraw from representing a client if withdrawal can be accomplished without a material adverse effect on the interests of the client, if representation results in an unreasonably difficult financial burden on the lawyer or the representation has been rendered unreasonably difficult by the client, or if other good cause for withdrawal exists.

As shown by the Declaration of Amber N. King, Esq., in Support of this Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record, withdrawal is appropriate in this case as there are irreconcilable differences in the direction of litigation between Counsel and Mr. Coleman. Withdrawal will not have a material adverse effect on the clients' interests and there is ample time for Plaintiff to seek other counsel as discovery in this matter does not close until January 3, 2025.

¹

II. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, High Stakes Injury Law, pursuant to Local Rule IA 11-6, respectfully requests that this Court grant its Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record for Plaintiff.

Respectfully submitted,
HIGH STAKES INJURY LAW

IT IS SO ORDERED. The motion is granted.

Hon. Maximiliano D. Couvillier III
United States Magistrate Judge
Dated: 6-20-24